Alpine Laboratory,
Manitou, Colo.
Aug. 4, 1922. (Friday)

Dear Munz:-

I received you letter of the 24th and was certainly glad to hear from you and to find that at last you have really gotten into the good old San Antonios. I am certainly glad that you looked for Selaginella Watsonii, for I would not put it beyond me to have missed it in those days when I knew little or nothing of the species of Selaginella. The Woodsia certainly is a find and is particularly interesting when viewed in the light of your discoveries in the San Jacintos. I would make a particular attemt to get your material definately determined, even going as far as sending it to Maxon, for those Woodsia stations ought to go into our fern paper. You could insert them in the galley. If you get them determined soon, you might write out a new discussion and send it to Weatherby for insertion in the MS before it gets to the printer. The new dope on other ferns you can slip into the gally. I am certainly desirous of seeing all your recent records embodied in the paper. I had a good letter from Weatherby which I enclose. I wrote and told him that personally, and I thot you too, would feel better to have the new comb. made in his name, inasmuch as we were unable at present to consult the references, any way it was his discovery and he ought to get the credit. I gave him permission to alter the MS as he suggests and for his reasons. I was glad to get in touch with him, for as you may know he has been holding down the job that I am to have at Cambridge this fall. What you say about Penstemon Bridgesii in the San Antonios just has me stumped!! I certainly must have been blind to have missed a species of Penstemon on all my trips into the Mts., and a common one too. Well I am certainly glad that you got the dope on it and have established its occurence there. Perhaps by now you are getting over the delusion that I exhausted the San Antonios? I am quite satisfied over the det. of the common Hab. in the mts. Jepson may have made a crack or possibly there is some mixing of labels to blame (and only consulting the type would show), but certainly the common Hab. is ~~leucostachys~~ sparsiflora and nothing to do with leucostachys. I think Pierson told me that his isotype was what he called sparsiflora. He an I talked over the mess on the Pilot Knob trip. Just where did you get Viola blanda ? it is a good find, alright. I hope you took your Pipe Canon Cornus to Berkeley and are giving it a good comparison. I am interested in the results of your trip to Davidsons. I understand that Jepson has left for his vacation so you will be deprived of his sweet smile while you are at the University. I wish I were with you for I should dearly like to have one of my visits with the Parishes. Be sure to give them the very best of my regards and tell them not to be too surprised if they get a letter from me, soon. Say! when you get back to Claremont please go up into cascade canon and get good specimens of that "Eupatorium". Also that Monardella at the head of Eveys Canon.

Am having good luck, for I added two Selaginellas to Pikes Peak, one of which appears to be a very distinct new one. I have Maxon all interested in Botrychium and Selaginella and am showering them in on him in a steady stream.

Well I have said my say. Give my regards to the Parishes, Miss Walker, and to the Setchells, and believe me,

Yours sincerely,

Ivan Johnston